

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/813,694	HIBINO, SATOSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan Quach	2826	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Tuan Quach.

(3) _____

(2) applicant's atty. Michael J. Scheer Reg.No. 34425.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

4

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See attached Examiner's Amendment correcting informalities re claim dependency and clear languages and updating parent application.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Tuan Quach
Primary Examiner

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)